



Learning Through Practice

SUMMARY DELIVERABLE 4.4: TOOL VALIDATION REPORT



This publication has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 101094014. Its contents are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.



blue4all.eu
[@BLUE4ALL](https://www.linkedin.com/company/blue4all)

Introduction

Blue4All works to make Europe's Marine Protected Areas more effective and resilient, developing practical management tools and testing them hand in hand with local communities.

The project began by assessing 25 Marine Protected Areas across Europe, then worked intensively with 15 of them as Living Labs: real-world sites where tools were tested with local managers and stakeholders. These tools help MPA managers understand how local people view an MPA, put a value on what it protects, keep it financially sustainable, and assess pressures on habitats and species.

This report steps back from the tools to look at how the Living Labs worked in practice, with a focus on co-creation and collaboration: how people worked together, what the day-to-day experience was like, and what came out of it.

Our Methods

1. BASELINE ASSESSMENT

Blue4All first mapped how 25 Marine Protected Areas and networks are already managed, documenting their governance, socio-economic and ecological practices.

2. NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Working through local Contact Points, the project asked each Living Lab what it actually needed. Managers and stakeholders identified their real priorities and challenges.

3. ANALYSIS AND MATCH-MAKING

The project analysed those needs and paired each Living Lab with the tools most likely to help, discussing and refining the match directly with the sites before testing began.

4. TOOL TESTING AND VALIDATION

Over a full year, Living Labs put the tools to work in real conditions. MPA managers and Stakeholder Engagement Groups tested and validated them on the ground, feeding back what worked and what needed adjusting.

5. LESSONS LEARNED

The project gathered the practical lessons from across all the Living Labs: what made co-creation work, where it was hard, and what future projects can do better.

Lessons Learned



Plan early. Map out activities and timelines well in advance, so there's time to organise engagement properly.



Bring stakeholders in on time. Engage the right people early, especially those within the Living Labs, so their input genuinely shapes the work.



Make sure everyone knows their role. With people involved at every level, from project teams to local stakeholders, a shared understanding of who does what keeps things running.



Stay flexible. Co-creation is not a fixed process. Aligning activities and timelines with local realities takes continuous adaptation.



Work through Contact Points. They bridge the project and each local context, making communication and stakeholder engagement far smoother.



Keep the feedback loop going. Collecting input from the Living Labs and acting on it lets good practices spread and problems get caught in time.



Scan to read the full deliverable

